

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/608,972 06/26/2003 Chien-Hua Chen 200208828-1 7437 22879 7590 04/14/2005 EXAMINER HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY KOVAL, MELISSA J P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

2851
DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ſ	77	`
ı	u	١.	А
	v	⋖	-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/608,972	CHEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melissa J. Koval	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	<u>arch 2005</u> .						
<i>,</i>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-22 and 24-29 is/are pending in	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-22 and 24-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-22 and 24-29</u> is/are rejected.	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-22 and 24-29</u> is/are rejected.						
) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - .

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 12, and 14 through 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Park et al. 6,714,353 B2.

See Figure 2 of '353, for example.

Claim 1 sets forth: "A display device, comprising (optical device 54 provides both homogenizing and color separation.):

a static spectral separator configured to separate multispectral light into a plurality of light bands (See dichroic coating surfaces 56 and 58, and see column 5, lines 12 through 17.); and

a homogenizing element configured to homogenize at least one separated light band (rod lens 51)."

Claim 2 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, further comprising a light source configured to produce the multispectral light." See column 4, lines 66 and 67, as well as column 5, lines 1 through 3.

Claim 3 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, further comprising an image-

Art Unit: 2851

forming element configured to form an image using the homogenized light band." See display device 78.

Claim 4 sets forth: "The display device of claim 3, where the homogenized light band is configured to have a cross-section that facilitates scanning onto the image-forming element." See column 6, lines 23 through 39.

Claim 5 sets forth: "The display device of claim 4, where the cross-section includes an elongate ribbon." See Figures 3 and 4, for example, wherein color stripe regions R,G,B are shown.

Claim 6 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, where the static spectral separator includes a prism." See triangular prisms 62 and column 5, lines 25 through 46.

Claim 7 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, where the static spectral separator is configured to separate the multispectral light into at least three light bands." Again refer to Figures 3 and 4, for example, wherein color stripe regions R, G, B are shown. Also refer to rotating prism 72 and column 6, lines 56 through 67, and column 7, lines 1 through 5.

Claim 8 sets forth: "The display device of claim 7, where the at least three light bands include red, green, and blue light bands." Claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to claims 5 and 7.

Claim 12 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, further comprising an

Art Unit: 2851

interlacing structure configured to interlace the separated light bands." Scrolling is discussed in column 6, lines 56 through 67, and column 7, lines 1 through 5 with respect to Figures 3 and 4.

Claim 14 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, where the image-forming element includes a micromirror array." See column 1, lines 23 through 27.

Claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to rejected claims 1 through 3.

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to rejected claims 1 through 3 and 14.

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to rejected claim 12.

Claim 18 sets forth: "The method of claim 17, further comprising providing a scanning device configured to scan the interlaced homogenized light bands across the image-forming element." Again refer to rotating prism 72.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-20, 22, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slobodin (U.S. Patent 6,334,685 B1) in view of Hwang (U.S. Patent 6,588,906 B2).

Art Unit: 2851

Claim 1 sets forth: "A display device, comprising:

a static spectral separator configured to separate multispectral light into a plurality of light bands; and

a homogenizing element configured to homogenize at least one separated light band."

Slobodin '685 B1 teaches all of the elements set forth in claim 1 except that Slobodin does not teach a static spectral separator in a single light valve projection display. Instead, Slobodin makes use of a color wheel 22 for separating white light into multispectral light.

Hwang '906 B2 teaches a static spectral separator (color light beam splitter unit 70) comprised of three switchable color filters 71, 72 and 73 in a single light valve projection display. Also in the BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION, Hwang teaches various structures known in the art for separating white light into multispectral light including three dichroic mirrors or a color wheel. Column 2, lines 26 through 34, compare resolution of the image formed on the spatial light modulation for a system using a color wheel with a system using three mirrors. It is an object of the invention of Hwang to improve over the color wheel or three dichroic mirrors by using the static spectral separator described as color light beam splitter unit 70 by increasing image resolution and quality. See the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION of Hwang.

Furthermore, in column 2, lines 10 through 46, of Slobodin '685 B1, Slobodin discusses how a color separation device in cooperation with a homogenization device

Art Unit: 2851

can result in a superior image on the face of a single light valve. The inventions of Slobodin '685 B1 and Hwang '906 B2 are analogous.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the color light beam splitter unit 70 of Hwang '906 for the color wheel 22 of Slobodin '685 B1, thus meeting the limitations of claim 1. The motivation for one having ordinary skill in the art to make such a substitution would be to better control the light onto the face of the single spatial light to increase the quality of the projected image.

Claim 2 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, further comprising a light source configured to produce the multispectral light." See light source 12, lamp 14, reflector 16, and polychromatic light 18 of '685 B1.

Claim 3 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, further comprising an imageforming element configured to form an image using the homogenized light band." See light valve 48 and column, lines 17 through 37 of '685 B1.

Claim 4 sets forth: "The display device of claim 3, where the homogenized light band is configured to have a cross-section that facilitates scanning onto the image-forming element." Refer to Figure 4 of Slobodin '685 B1 and also column 4, lines 50 through 56. Also see column 2, lines 10 through 25, and column 3, lines 43 through 58.

Claim 5 sets forth: "The display device of claim 4, where the cross-section includes an elongate ribbon." Again refer to Figure 4 as well as Figures 5 and 6 of Slobodin '685 B1. The segments of the light pipe 30, which includes first, second, and third optically conductive cores 70, 72, and 74, meet the claimed limitation of a cross-

Art Unit: 2851

section including an "elongate ribbon". Refer to column 4, lines 50 through 67. Furthermore, note that first through third input apertures 32, 34 and 36 of optically conductive cores 70, 72, and 74 receive first through third light beams 24, 26 and 28 in red, green and blue sequence.

Claim 7 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, where the static spectral separator is configured to separate the multispectral light into at least three light bands." Again refer to column 3, lines 34 through 48 of '685 B1.

Claim 8 sets forth: "The display device of claim 7, where the at least three light bands include red, green, and blue light bands." Claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to claim 7.

Claim 9 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, comprising at least one homogenizing element for each separated light band." Refer to column 2, lines 33 through 42 of '685 B1.

Claim 11 sets forth: "The display device of claim 9, where each homogenizing element includes a light pipe." Claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to claim 9.

Claim 12 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, further comprising an interlacing structure configured to interlace the separated light bands." See column 2, lines 10 through 25 of Slobodin '685 B1. On page 9 of applicant's specification, applicant teaches a repeated light pattern with respect to interlacing.

Claim 14 sets forth: "The display device of claim 1, where the image-forming element includes a micromirror array." See column 4, lines 24 and 25.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,972 Page 8

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 15 and 19 are rejected for the same reasons already applied to rejected claims 1 through 3.

Claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to rejected claim 12.

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons already applied to rejected claim 4.

Claim 19 is rejected for the same reasons applied to already rejected claims 15, 17 and 18.

Claim 20 sets forth: "The method of claim 19, where generating multispectral light includes generating substantially white light." In Slobodin '685 B1, see column 3, lines 30 through 32, wherein lamp 14 is described as a metal halide arc lamp. Applicant mentions metal halide lamps at the top of page 4 of his specification.

With respect to claims 22 and 25, see the rejection of claim 16 above.

Claim 24 sets forth: "The method of claim 19, where forming an image includes selectively reflecting the light band from a reflective image-forming element." Refer to column 4, lines 24 and 25 wherein light valve 48 is described as a reflective CMOS device.

With respect to claim 26, refer to the rejection of claim 4 above.

With respect to claim 27, refer to the rejection of claim 5 above.

With respect to claim 28, refer to the rejection of claim 7 above.

With respect to claim 29, refer to the rejection of claim 9 above.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2851

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lee et al. U.S. Patent 6,843,567 B2 teaches a highly efficient scrolling projection system and method.

Takahashi U.S. Patent 5,897,190 teaches illumination optical system, projection optical system and display apparatus using the same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa J. Koval whose telephone number is (571) 272-2121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJK